

5.a. Discussion & Vote on Executive Committee Members

Key Discussion Question(s):

1. Have the potential committee members volunteered?

Recommended vote language:

1. A motion to appoint [Council member names – ideally three (3)] to the EERMC Executive Committee until official appointments from the Governor are completed.

5.b. Discussion & Vote on 2020 Legal Services Budget Allocation

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Were the expenses incurred in 2020 appropriate?
- 2. Does the Council have enough funds to cover these costs?

Recommended vote language:

1. A motion to approve a \$4,198.23 increase to the 2021 Legal Services budget allocation in order to cover larger than expected costs incurred at the end of 2020.

5.c. Discussion & Vote on Council Public Education Proposal

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Is the funding allocation recommendation reasonable and affordable for the Council?
- 2. Will the recommendation help to support the Council's responsibility on energy public education?

Recommended vote language:

1. A motion to allocate <u>up to</u> \$7,500.00 from the Council's 2021 Public Education funds to support a Farm Energy Fellow this year.

Recommended language for other votes on the following page

5.d. Discussion & Vote on Proposed System Reliability Procurement Comments to the Public Utilities Commission

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Are the comments clear? Is anything missing from the comments?
- 2. Will the comments appropriately convey the Council's priorities and thoughts about SRP to the Commission?

Recommended vote language options:

- 1. WITHOUT AMENDMENTS: a motion to approve the SRP comments as currently written and to direct the consultant team to work with Marisa Desautel to file the comments with the Public Utilities Commission by the required deadline.
- 2. WITH AMENDMENTS: a motion to approve the SRP comments with the following amendments: _____ [List any necessary amendments here] ____. And to direct the consultant team to work with Marisa Desautel to file the comments with the Public Utilities Commission by the required deadline.

5.g. Council Vote on Comments to the Public Utilities Commission on the Energy Efficiency Performance Incentive Mechanism

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Has the PUC issued its comment period schedule/notice yet? <u>if yes, not all vote language options may be relevant</u>
- 2. Do council members have any clarifying questions they would like to submit to the PUC in advance of comments?
- 3. Does the entire council wish to review and publicly discuss comments on this topic? Or can the Executive Committee handle this task?
- 4. Are there any comments Council members already know they want to make to the PUC on this topic?

Recommended vote language options:

- 1. SCHEDULING AN ADDITIONAL EERMC FULL COUNCIL MEETING: a motion to schedule an additional EERMC meeting on March <u>[DATE]</u>, 2021 starting at <u>[TIME]</u> AM/PM to review and vote on comments to the PUC on the EE PIM.
- 2. GIVING COMMENT APPROVAL AUTHORITY TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE: a motion to task the EERMC Executive Committee with meeting in March in order to review and vote on the approval of comments to the PUC on the EE PIM. This motion gives the Executive Committee authority on behalf of the full council to approve comments on the topic for submission to the PUC in March 2021.